### Monoterpenoid Indole Alkaloids from Alstonia mairei

by Xiang-Hai Cai<sup>a</sup>), Chun-Xia Zeng<sup>b</sup>), Tao Feng<sup>a</sup>), Yan Li<sup>a</sup>), and Xiao-Dong Luo<sup>\*a</sup>)

a) State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650204, P. R. China (phone:  $+86-871-5223177$ ; fax:  $+86-871-5150227$ ; e-mail: xdluo@mail.kib.ac.cn)

b) Southwest China Germplasm Bank of Wild Species, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650204, P. R. China

Three new monoterpenoid indole alkaloids,  $(14a,15a)$ -14,15-epoxyaspidofractinine (1) and maireines A and B (2 and 3, resp.), together with 19 known alkaloids, were isolated from the leaves and twigs of Alstonia mairei. The structures of the new compounds were elucidated by 1D- and 2D-NMR spectroscopic methods in combination with MS experiments.

Introduction. – Monoterpenoid indole alkaloids play a most important role in natural medicinal history; for example, vincristine and quinine showed excellent anticancer and antimalaria activity, respectively  $[1][2]$ . So far, no other anticancer monoterpenoid indole alkaloids than vincristine analoges have been employed as drugs. Recently, it was reported that anticancer monomers of this type are as potent as the corresponding dimers (vincristine) [3]. The genus Alstonia of Apocynaceae is rich in monoterpenoid indole alkaloids. Four species, including two endemic plants of the genus Alstonia, are distributed in Yunnan Province [4]. The phytochemical constituents of Alstonia sp. have been investigated intensively, with anticancer, antibacterial, antifertility, and antitussive activities having been reported [5]. In our continuing study of the Yunnan endemic resources, we have reported new alkaloids from A. scholaris and A. yunnanensis  $[6-8]$ . Another species, A. mairei, is also rich in monoterpenoid indole alkaloids [9]. In the current study, separation of the alkaloid extract led to 22 monoterpenoid indole alkaloids. In this article, we describe the isolation and structure elucidation of three new alkaloids,  $(14\alpha, 15\alpha)$ -14,15-epoxyaspidofractinine (1), and maireines A and B (2 and 3, resp.), together with 19 known isolates, venalstonine (4) [10],  $(-)$ -minovincinine (5) [11],  $(-)$ -11-methoxyminovincinine (6) [12],  $(-)$ -echitovenine (7) [13], echitovenaldine (8) [14], echitovenidine (9) [15], 11-methoxyechitovenidine  $(10)$  [15], echitoveniline  $(11)$  [13], 11-methoxyechitoveniline  $(12)$  [13], echitoserpidine (13) [16], 11-methoxyechitoserpidine (14) [17], (19S)-vindolinine (15) [10], lochnericine (16) [18], tabersonine (17) [18], perakine (18) [19], picrinine (19) [20], deacetylpicraline 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoate (20) [21], picralinal (21) [22], and rhazimol  $(22)$  [23] (Fig. 1). In addition, all compounds were tested for their cytotoxicity against five human cancer cell lines, but no significant activity was found  $(IC_{50} >$  $40 \mu M$ ).

© 2010 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich



Fig. 1. Alkaloids from A. mairei

Results and Discussion. – Compound 1 gave a positive reaction with *Dragendorff's* reagent and had a molecular formula of  $C_{19}H_{23}N_2O$  based on HR-ESI-MS ( $m/z$ ) 295.1801 ( $[M + H]^+$ )). Its UV spectrum showed the characteristic absorption bands of indole alkaloids at 240 and 288 nm [20]. The FT-IR spectra exhibited absorption bands for NH (3329 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and aromatic rings (1608, 1479, and 1458 cm<sup>-1</sup>). In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum (*Table*), four signals  $(\delta(H)$  6.99 (d, J = 7.0, H – C(9)), 6.92 (t, J = 7.0,  $H-C(11)$ , 6.63 (*t*, *J*=7.0, H-C(10)), 6.57 (*d*, *J*=7.0, H-C(12))) revealed the presence of an unsubstituted ring  $\vec{A}$  in a monoterpenoid indole alkaloid [24]. The  $13C-NMR$  (Table) and DEPT spectra of 1 displayed signals for a 2,3-dihydroindole ring  $(\delta(C)$  152.0 (s, C(13)), 139.8 (s, C(8)), 127.5 (d, C(11)), 121.9 (d, C(9)), 118.9 (d, C(10)), 111.1 (d, C(12)), 65.2 (s, C(2)), 55.7 (s, C(7)). Moreover, 1 possesses seven CH<sub>2</sub> ( $\delta$ (C) 50.0, 49.0, 37.3, 31.0, 28.3, 26.3, 25.2) and three CH C-atoms (d(C) 62.9, 58.6, 53.4), and another quaternary C-atom ( $\delta$ (C) 35.9). However, the absence of a Me (C(18)) signal of 1 in its <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra indicated that  $C(18)$  might be connected to another

center. Detailed analysis of 13C-NMR and DEPT data revealed that 1 belongs to the aspidosperma-type alkaloids [25]. Comparison with venalstonidine [26] indicated that 1 was similar to this alkaloid with the exception for absence of a COOMe group, which is replaced by an additional CH<sub>2</sub> group ( $\delta$ (C) 26.3 (t)). Its corresponding two H-atom signals at  $\delta(H)$  1.75 (*m*, 1 H) and 2.01 (*m*, 1 H) showed HMBCs with C(2) ( $\delta(C)$  65.2 (s)) and  $C(7)$  ( $\delta$ (C) 55.7 (s)), supporting this presumption. In its HMBC spectrum, correlations from  $\delta(H)$  2.67 (d, J = 3.2) to  $\delta(C)$  62.9 (d, C(21)) and 35.9 (s, C(20)), and from  $\delta(H)$  3.26 (*m*) to  $\delta(C)$  49.0 (*t*, C(3)) and 58.6 (*d*, C(15)) pointed to the presence of a 14,15-epoxy moiety (*Fig. 2*). Absence of a NOE correlation between  $H - C(14)$  and  $H - C(15)$  with any key H-atoms in the ROESY spectrum suggested  $\alpha$ -orientation of the epoxy moiety, which was supported by an upfield shift of C(21) (from  $\delta$ (C) 68.4 to 62.9) and of the CH<sub>2</sub>(19) (from  $\delta$ (C) 31.1 to 25.2) [3]. Thus, 1 was determined as  $(14\alpha, 15\alpha)$ -14,15-epoxyaspidofractinine. The negative specific rotation  $([\alpha]_D^{23} = -5)$  of **1** compared with those of venalstonidine  $([\alpha]_D^{23} = -96)$  [20] and aspidofractinine  $([a]_D^{23} = -20)$  [27], suggested that they have same absolute configuration.



Fig. 2. Key HMBCs of 1

Compound 2 was found to possess the molecular formula of  $C_{34}H_{40}O_8N_2$  as evidenced by HR-ESI-MS at  $m/z$  605.2881 ( $[M + H]^+$ ). Its UV spectrum indicated the characteristic absorption bands of aspidosperma alkaloids with those of an  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ unsaturated lactone at 232 and 318 nm in agreement with FT-IR bands at 1706 and  $1616$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of 2 displayed signals for a mono-substituted indole ring  $(\delta(H)$  6.91  $(d, J = 7.5, H - C(9))$ , 6.32 (br. s, H – C(12)), 6.23  $(t, J = 7.5, H - C(10)))$ . Its 13C-NMR and DEPT data showed the presence of 3,4,5-trimethoxycinnamic acid moiety ( $\delta$ (C) 166.3 (s, C(9')), 153.3 (s, C(3',5')), 143.9 (d, C(7')), 139.7 (s, C(4')), 129.8  $(s, C(1'))$ , 117.0  $(d, C(8'))$ , 105.1  $(s, C(2',6'))$ , 60.9  $(q, MeO-C(4'))$ , 56.1  $(q,$  $MeO-C(3\degree,5\degree))$  [28]. The remaining <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data (see *Table 1*) including signals of eight quarternary C-atoms ( $\delta$ (C) 168.8, 167.9, 159.8, 144.6, 129.8, 92.0, 54.9, and 43.3), 6 CH<sub>2</sub> (51.2, 49.8, 44.9, 29.2, 26.2, and 20.9), 5 CH (121.4, 104.4, 97.0, 71.8, and 67.7), and 2 Me (55.0 and 15.1) were identical to those of 11-methoxyminovincinine  $(6)$ [12]. Two moieties were connected at  $C(19)$  and  $C(9')$  which was supported by the HMBC correlation between  $\delta(H)$  4.89 (q, J = 6.5, H – C(19) and  $\delta(C)$  166.3 (s, C(9')). The configuration of 2 was identical to that of 11-methoxyminovincinine, as supported by its negative specific rotation and ROESY spectra. Thus, 2 was structurally determined as shown and named maireine A.

Compound 3 was found to possess the molecular formula  $C_{33}H_{38}O_7N_2$  as evidenced by HR-ESI-MS at  $m/z$  575.27563 ( $[M+H]^+$ ). It showed UV absorption bands at 232 and 317 nm, and FT-IR bands at 1706 and 1615 cm<sup>-1</sup> similar to those of 2. The <sup>1</sup>H- and



 $I$  in  $H_{\sigma}$ Table. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR Data for Compounds 1-3.  $\delta$  in ppm, *J* in Hz.  $\ddot{\cdot}$  $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ Š  $f_{\alpha r}$ and  $^{13}C$ -NMR Data Table  $^I H$ .

# 2040 Helvetica Chimica Acta – Vol. 93 (2010)



Table (cont.)

# Helvetica Chimica Acta – Vol. 93 (2010) 2041

<sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of 3 displayed signals for an unsubstituted 2.3-dihydroindole ring C  $(\delta(H) 7.25 (d, J = 7.5, H - C(9)), 7.06$  (overlap,  $H - C(11), H - C(12)$ ), and 6.80  $(t, J = 7.5, H - C(9))$ 7.5, H – C(10));  $\delta$ (C) 121.7 (s, C(9)), 121.2 (d, C(10)), 128.5 (d, C(11)), and 110.7 (d,  $C(12)$ )). The remaining <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data were identical to those of 2, which suggested that the MeO group in the indole ring of 2 was absent in 3. So, the structure of 3 was determined as shown, and the compound was named maireine B.

All alkaloids  $1 - 22$  were tested for their ability to prevent the cytopathic effects of cancer in breast cancer SK-BR-3, hepatocellular carcinoma SMMC-7721, human myeloid leukemia HL-60, pancreatic cancer PANC-1, and lung cancer A-549 cells, and their cytotoxicities were determined using cisplatin as the positive control. Unfortunately, none of them showed a significant activity ( $IC_{50} > 40 \mu M$ ).

Herewith, our group has terminated the phytochemical research on A. scholaris, A. yunnanensis, and A. mairei. Most of alkaloids from the former were of picrinine type, together with its derivatives; and those from A. yunnanensis were both of picrinine and aspidospermine types [8]. Most of constituents from the title plant belong to the aspidospermine type.

#### Experimental Part

General. Column chromatography (CC): silica gel (SiO<sub>2</sub>; 200 - 300 mesh; Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory, Qingdao, P. R. China). TLC:  $SiO<sub>2</sub> GF<sub>254</sub>$  (200 – 300 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory, Qingdao, P. R. China); sprayed with *Dragendorff's* reagent;  $C_{18}$  SiO<sub>2</sub> (20–45 µm; Fuji Chemical Ltd., Japan). MPLC: *Büchi* pumps system coupled with glass columns (15  $\times$  230 and 26  $\times$  460 mm, resp.,  $C_{18}$  $\text{SiO}_2$ ). HPLC: Waters 600 pumps coupled with anal. and semi-prep. Xtera  $C_{18}$  columns (150  $\times$  4.6 and  $150 \times 7.8$  mm, resp.). The HPLC system employed a Waters 2996 photodiode array detector and a Waters fraction collector II. Optical rotations: *Horiba SEAP-300* spectropolarimeter. UV Spectra: Shimadzu double-beam 210A spectrophotometer;  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) in nm. IR Spectra: Bio-Rad FTS-135 IR spectrophotometer; KBr pellets; in cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-, <sup>13</sup>C-, and 2D-NMR spectra: *AM-400* and *DRX*-500 MHz NMR spectrometer;  $\delta$  in ppm rel. to Me<sub>4</sub>Si as internal standard, J in Hz. MS: API Qstar Pulsar I and Finnigan LCQ Advantage spectrometer; in  $m/z$  (rel. %).

Plant Material. A. mairei was identified by Dr. Ende Liu in November 2008 in Yunnan Province, P. R. China, and the specimen (cai-20081101) was deposited with the State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Extraction and Isolation. Air-dried leaves and twigs  $(13.0 \text{ kg})$  of A. mairei were crushed and extracted with EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 9:1 under reflux for three times (3, 2, and 1 h) to yield an EtOH extract. After removal of EtOH under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in 1% aq. HCl and partitioned with AcOEt for three times. The acidic soln. was subsequently basified with  $NH_3 \cdot H_2O$  to pH 9–10, and partitioned with AcOEt for three times, to afford a two-phase mixture including the aq. phase and AcOEt/org. phase (total alkaloids). Total alkaloids  $(34 g)$  was absorbed on SiO<sub>2</sub> (45 g) and chromatographed on a prepacked column on  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  (450 g), eluting with a mixture of CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH (from CHCl<sub>3</sub> to CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH 9:1), to give seven fractions,  $Fr. I - VI$ , according to differences in composition monitored by TLC plate after spraying with *Dragendorff'*s reagent.  $\overline{Fr}$ . I (2.6 g) was further purified by CC (SiO<sub>2</sub> (30 g); petroleum ether/CHCl<sub>3</sub> 1 : 1 – 4 : 1), which gave 17 (5 mg) and 16 (20 mg). Fr. II (2.0 g) was subjected to MPLC ( $RP_{18}$  SiO<sub>2</sub> (52 g); MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O from 1:1 to 9:1) to afford six subfractions Frs. II-1 –II-6). Fr. II-5 (0.46 g) was further purified by CC (SiO<sub>2</sub> (35 g); petroleum ether/acetone 9:1–4:1) to give  $17$  (3.2 mg),  $9$  (35 mg),  $10$  (5 mg), Frs. A and B, and  $2$  (45 mg). Frs. A and B were separated by semiprep. reversed-phase (RP)  $C_{18}$  HPLC on *Xterra* column with gradient flow from 50 to 65% aq. MeOH to afford pure compounds 11 (3 mg), 13 (2.5 mg), 12 (5.5 mg), 14 (4.5 mg), resp. Fr. II-6 (0.11 g) was further purified on a semi-prep. column with gradient flow from 50 to 65% aq. MeOH to give 3 (3 mg). The same semi-prep. column with gradient flow from 30 to 60% aq. MeOH was used to separate the

mixture of Fr. II-3 and Fr. II-4 (0.15 g). This technique afforded  $7$  (5 mg) and  $8$  (2.1 mg). Fr. III (6.5 g) was subjected to CC ( $RP_{18}$  SiO<sub>2</sub>; 30–80% aq. MeOH) to give six subfractions, Frs. III-1–III-6. Fr. III-4 (0.8 g) was submitted to CC (SiO<sub>2</sub> (20 g); petroleum ether/acetone from 9:1 to 4:1) to yield 4 (45 mg) and Fr. C. Fr. C (76.5 mg) was subjected to CC ( $RP_{18}$  gel (80 g); 45 – 60% aq. MeOH) to afford 6 (6 mg). Fr. III-5 (18.9 mg) were subjected to semi-prep. CC ( $RP_{18}$  SiO<sub>2</sub> (150  $\times$  7.8 mm); 40–50% aq. MeOH) to afford  $5$  (13 mg). Fr. IV (7.0 g) was subjected to CC ( $RP_{18}$  SiO<sub>2</sub> (160 g); 40 – 100% MeOH) to afford five subfractions, Frs. IV-1 – IV-5. Fr. IV-1 (1.15 g) was purified by CC (SiO<sub>2</sub> (30 g); petroleum ether/acetone  $9:1 \rightarrow 3:1$ ) to give 1 (11 mg) and 18 (64 mg). Fr. IV-3 (0.9 g) was submitted to CC (RP<sub>18</sub> SiO<sub>2</sub> (26 g); 50% aq. MeOH) to give 15 (11 mg). Compound 20 (17 mg) separated from Fr. IV-5. Fr. V (7.0 g) was subjected to CC ( $RP_{18}$  SiO<sub>2</sub> (160 g); 40% aq. MeOH) to afford subfraction *V-1. Fr. V-1* (0.11 g) was further purified by HPLC semi-prep. column using a gradient flow of  $35-45\%$  aq. MeOH to obtain 21  $(31 \text{ mg})$  and  $22$  (12 mg). Fr. VI (7.0 g) was subjected to CC ( $RP_{18}$  SiO<sub>2</sub> (160 g); 55% aq. MeOH) to afford subfraction VI-1. Fr. VI-1 was purified by CC (SiO<sub>2</sub> (300 g); CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH 19:1) to give 19 (13 mg).

 $(14a,15a) -14,15$ -Epoxyaspidofractinine  $(=(1aR,8bR,11aR,12aS)-4H,12H-1b,3a-Ethano-1a,2,3,$ 9,10,12a-hexahydro-11aH-oxireno[6,7]indolizino[8,1-cd]carbazole; 1). White powder.  $\left[ \alpha \right]_0^{23} = -5.0$  $(c = 0.23, \text{MeOH})$ . UV (MeOH): 205 (4.16), 240 (3.59), 288 (3.27). IR: 3329, 2942, 1608, 1479, 1458.  ${}^{1}H$ - (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): see the *Table*. ESI-MS (pos.): 295 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>), 317 ( $[M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup>). HR-ESI-MS: 295.1801 ( $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup>, C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>; calc. 295.1810).

Maireine A (¼ Methyl (5a,12b,19a)-2,3-Didehydro-16-methoxy-20-{[(2E)-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-enoyl] $oxy$  aspidospermidine-3-carboxylate; 2). White powder.  $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_2^{23} = -371$  (c = 0.30, MeOH). UV (MeOH): 203 (4.23), 232 (4.17), 318 (4.20). IR: 3372, 2940, 1706, 1679, 1616. <sup>1</sup> H-  $((D_6)$ acetone, 400 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR  $((D_6)$ acetone, 100 MHz): see the *Table*. ESI-MS (pos.): 605  $([M+H]^+)$ . HR-ESI-MS: 605.2881  $([M+H]^+, C_{34}H_{41}N_2O_8^+$ ; calc. 605.2862).

Maireine B  $(= \text{Methyl } (5a,12\beta,19a)-2,3-Didehydro-20-[[(2E)-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-1]$ enoyl]oxy]aspidospermidine-3-carboxylate; 3). White powder.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{23} = -353$  (c=0.30, MeOH). UV (MeOH): 202 (4.21), 231 (4.16), 315 (4.17). IR: 3370, 2941, 1710, 1670, 1614. <sup>1</sup>H- ((D<sub>6</sub>)acetone, 500 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (( $D_6$ )acetone, 100 MHz): see the *Table*. ESI-MS (pos.): 575 ( $[M + H]^+$ ). HR-ESI-MS: 575.2763 ([ $M + H$ ]<sup>+</sup>, C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>49</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O $\ddagger$ ; calc. 575.2758).

Cytotoxicity Assay. Five human cancer cell lines, breast cancer SK-BR-3, hepatocellular carcinoma SMMC-7721, human myeloid leukemia HL-60, pancreatic cancer PANC-1, and lung cancer A-549 cells, were used in the cytotoxic assay. All the cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 or DMEM medium (Hyclone, USA), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (*Hyclone*, USA) in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37°. The cytotoxicity assay was performed according to the MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide) method in 96-well microplates [29]. Briefly, 100  $\mu$  of adherent cells were seeded into each well of 96-well cell culture plates and allowed to adhere for 12 h before drug addition, while suspended cells were seeded just before drug addition with initial density of  $1 \times 10^5$  cells/ml. Each tumor cell line was exposed to the test compound at concentrations of  $0.0625$ ,  $0.32$ ,  $1.6$ ,  $8$ , and  $40 \mu$ m in triplicates for  $48 \text{ h}$ , with cisplatin (Sigma, USA) as positive control. After compound treatment, cell viability was detected, and cell-growth curve was graphed. The  $IC_{50}$  values were calculated by using Reed and Muench's method [30].

This project was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (C30800091), the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program 2009CB522300), the Chinese Academy of Sciences (KSCX2-YW-R-202), and the State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China (P2008-ZZ24).

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] M. A. Jordan, K. Kamath, Curr. Cancer Drug Targets 2007, 7, 730.
- [2] E. C. Ibezim, U. Odo, Afr. J. Biotechnol. 2008, 7, 349.
- [3] K.-H. Lim, O. Hiraku, K. Komiyama, T.-S. Kam, J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 1591.
- [4] P. T. Li, J. M. L. Antony, J. M. David, *Flora of China* 1995, 16, 143.
- [5] P. Kamarajan, N. Sekar, V. Mathuram, S. Govindasamy, Biochem. Int. 1991, 25, 491; G. C. Jagetia, M. S. Baliga, Phytother. Res. 2006, 20, 103; M. R. Khan, A. D. Omoloso, M. Kihara, Fitoterapia 2003, 74, 736; R. S. Gupta, A. K. Bhatnager, Y. C. Joshi, M. C. Sharma, V. Khushalani, J. B. S. Kachhawa, Pharmacology 2005, 75, 57.
- [6] X.-H. Cai, Q.-G. Tan, Y.-P. Liu, T. Feng, Z.-Z. Du, W.-Q. Li, X.-D. Luo, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 577.
- [7] X.-H. Cai, Z.-Z. Du, X.-D. Luo, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1817.
- [8] T. Feng, Y. Li, X.-H. Cai, X. Gong, Y.-P. Liu, R.-T. Zhang, X.-Y. Zhang, Q.-G. Tan, X.-D. Luo, J. Nat. Prod. 2009, 75, 1836.
- [9] C. M. Li, J. Su, Q. Mu, H. L. Zheng, S. G. Wu, Acta Bot. Yunnan. 1998, 20, 244.
- [10] A. Ahond, M.-M. Janot, N. Langlois, G. Lukacs, P. Potier, Philippe Rasoanaivo, M. Sangaré, N. Neuss, M. Plat, J. Le Men, E. W. Hagaman, E. Wenkert, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 633.
- [11] W. Döpke, H. Meisel, E. Gründemann, Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 12, 1287.
- [12] P. L. Majumder, A. Basu, Indian J. Chem., Sect. B 1985, 24, 649.
- [13] P. L. Majumder, S. Joardar, T. K. Chanda, B. N. Dinda, M. Banerjee, A. B. Ray, A. Chatterjee, P. Varenne, B. C. Das, Tetrahedron 1979, 35, 1151.
- [14] P. L. Majumder, T. K. Chanda, B. N. Dinda, Chem. Ind. (London) 1973, 21, 1032.
- [15] B. Das, K. Biemann, A. Chatterjee, A. B. Ray, P. L. Majumder, Tetrahedron Lett. 1966, 7, 2483. [16] P. L. Majumder, B. N. Dinda, Phytochemistry 1974, 13, 645.
- [17] P. L. Majumder, S. Joardar, B. N. Dinda, D. Bandyopadhyay, S. Joardar (N. Saha), A. Basu, Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 1243.
- [18] B. K. Moza, J. Trojánek, A. K. Bose, K. G. Das, P. Funke, Tetrahedron Lett. 1964, 5, 2561.
- [19] P. R. Ulshafer, M. F. Bartlett, L. Dorfman, M. A. Gillen, E. Schlittler, E. Wenkert, Tetrahedron Lett. 1961, 2, 363.
- [20] F. Abe, R. F. Chen, T. Yamauchi, N. Marubayashi, I. Ueda, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1989, 37, 887.
- [21] W. Chen, Y. P. Yan, Y. J. Wang, X. T. Liang, Acta Pharm. Sin. (Yaoxuexuebao) 1985, 20, 906.
- [22] D. A. Evans, J. A. Joule, G. F. Smith, Phytochemistry 1968, 7, 1429.
- [23] Y. Ahmad, K. Fatima, P. W. Le Quesne, Atta-ur-Rahman, Phytochemistry 1983, 22, 1017.
- [24] Y. Morita, M. Hesse, H. Schmid, A. Banerji, J. Banerji, A. Chatterjee, W. E. Oberhänsli, Helv. Chim. Acta 1977, 60, 1419.
- [25] A. Patra, A. K. Mukhopadhyay, A. K. Mitra, *Indian J. Chem.*, *Sect. B* 1979, 17, 175.
- [26] B. Das, K. Biemann, A. Chatterjee, A. B. Ray, P. L. Majumder, Tetrahedron Lett. 1965, 6, 2233.
- [27] C. Djerassi, H. Budzikiewicz, R. J. Owellen, J. M. Wilson, W. G. Kump, D. J. Le Count, A. R. Battersby, H. Schmid, Helv. Chim. Acta 1963, 46, 742; A. Guggisberg, A. A. Gorman, B. W. Bycroft, H. Schmid, Helv. Chim. Acta 1969, 52, 76.
- [28] M. DellaGreca, R. Purcaro, L. Previtera, A. Zarrelli, Chem. Biodiversity 2008, 5, 2408.
- [29] T. Mosmann, J. Immunol. Methods 1983, 65, 55.
- [30] L. J. Reed, H. Muench, Am. J. Hygiene 1938, 27, 493.

Received February 2, 2010